REMARKS

As of the filing of the present reply, claims 11-15 and 17-20 were pending in the above-identified US Patent Application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 11, 15, and 17-20 as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,492,038 to Rigney et al. (Rigney) under 35 USC §102(e), rejected claims 11 and 14 as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,835,465 to Allen et al. (Allen) under 35 USC §102(e), and rejected claims 12 and 13 as unpatentable over Allen in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,312,832 to Alperine et al. (Alperine) under 35 USC §103.

In response, Applicants have amended remaining independent claim 11 to incorporate the limitations of its dependent claim 15. As such, the §§102 and 103 rejections based on Allen are believed to be overcome.

Regarding the §102(e) rejection based on Rigney, Applicants submit herewith a Rule 132 Declaration previously submitted in parent application 10/064,791 (now U.S. Patent No. 6,998,172), by which Applicant Ramgopal Darolia declared that he conceived/invented the subject matter recited in claims rejected as being anticipated by Rigney under 35 USC §102(e). Applicants believe that the subject matter of the claims pending at the time Applicant Darolia submitted his Declaration is commensurate with the subject

Application No. 10/710,895 Technology Center 1792 Reply dated April 8, 2009

In response to Office Action of January 9, 2009

matter of the claims currently pending in the present application, namely, a

thermal-insulating material formed to contain elemental carbon and/or carbon-

containing gas entrapped within pores that are in grains and at and between

grain boundaries of the thermal-insulating material, and present in an amount

sufficient to thermally stabilize the microstructure of the thermal-insulating

material and resist sintering, grain coarsening and pore redistribution.

Accordingly, Applicants believe this showing is commensurate with what is

required under MPEP §715.01(a) and 716.10, and therefore respectfully

request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 USC §102(e) based on Rigney.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request that their patent

application be given favorable reconsideration.

Should the Examiner have any questions with respect to any matter

now of record, Applicants' representative may be reached at (219) 462-4999.

Respectfully submitted,

XSShotran

Domenica N.S. Hartman Reg. No. 32,701

April 8, 2009

Hartman & Hartman, P.C. Valparaiso, Indiana 46383

TEL.: (219) 462-4999 FAX: (219) 464-1166

Attachment: Declaration of Ramgopal Darolia

RECEIVED CENTRAL RAY CENTER

OFFICIAL

AUG 0 6 2004

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. :

10/064,791

Confirmation No. 4089

Applicant

Boris A. Movchan et al.

Filed:

August 16, 2002

TC/Art Unit: Examiner 1771 Hai Vo

Docket No. Customer No.

13DV-13975 30952 OFFICIAL

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR §1.132

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

- I, RAMGOPAL DAROLIA, depose and say that:
- I am a joint inventor of the subject matter covered by each of the claims pending in the above-identified patent application ("Application").
- (2) Claims 26-40 of the Application are rejected as claiming subject matter found in U.S. Patent No. 6,492,038 to Rigney et al. ("Rigney").
 - (3) I conceived or invented the subject matter that has been cited in the

Application No. 10/064,791 Docket No. 13DV-13975 Amendment dated August 6, 2004 Reply to Office Action of April 6, 2004

rejection of claims 26-40 under 35 USC §102(e) as being disclosed but not claimed in the Rigney patent, namely, co-evaporating ceramic and carbon-containing materials to yield a thermal barrier coating containing, on the premise of inherence, elemental carbon and an insoluble gas. As such, this subject matter relied on under the §102(e) rejection was derived from me and is thus not the invention "by another."

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Nampopal Daroho 08/06/04. Ramgopal Darohia